

GOA STATE INFORMATION COMMISSION
'Kamat Towers' Seventh Floor, Patto, Panaji – Goa

CORAM : Prashant S. Prabhu Tendolkar
State Chief Information Commissioner

Appeal No.280/SIC/2010/

Shri Suhash D. Naik

Dy. Range Officer
South Goa, Territorial, Div
Quepem-Goa

.....

Appellant

`;V/s

1)Public Information Officer

Office of Principal Chief Conservator of Forests,
Gomantak Maratha Samaj,
Panaji Goa

2)The First Appellate Authority,

3rd Floor, Junta House,
Panaji Goa
Conservator of Forest,

.....

Respondents

Filed on : 01/12/2010
Disposed on: 20/03/2017

ORDER

- 1) The appellant herein by his application, dated 13/7/2010, filed u/s 6(1) of The Right to Information Act 2005(Act) sought certain information from the Respondent No.1, PIO regarding the action taken on his letter dated 10/3/2010 objecting the tentative seniority list of Dy. Range Forest Officers(Dy. RFO).
- 2) Said application, on being transferred u/s 6(3) of the act the Dy. Director Adm., by letter, dated 30/7/2010, replied that the said letter was not processed.

- 3) The appellant filed first appeal to FAA, who by order dated 28/10/2010 directed the PIO to furnish the seniority list of Dy. RFO promoted on Ad hoc bases.
- 4) The PIO by reply dated 24/11/2010, pursuant to the order of FAA informed the appellant that final seniority list was not prepared and a copy of the letter of Dy. Director Adm., was enclosed.
- 5) The appellant has therefore landed before this commission in this second appeal u/s 19(3) of the act.
- 6) Initially when the notices were issued, the appellant appeared. But subsequently no dates were fixed for hearing. After constitution of this commission fresh notices were issued to the parties. The appellant failed to appear. The PIO appeared and submitted that though the seniority list was not finalized at the time the application was filed, the same is now finalized and submitted that the information can be furnished now. Accordingly he was directed to file the information and the reply if any.
- 7) Accordingly on 9/3/2017 the authorized representative of PIO filed a written statement along with the seniority list. It is also contended by the PIO that the appellant after filing of this appeal has inspected the concerned file in 2011 and that he has not obtained any copies thereof. The copies so filed by the PIO are in duplicate.
- 8) The appellant has remained absent and thus there is no rebuttal of the contentions as raised by the PIO. I have no reason to discard or disbelieve the contentions as raised by the PIO.

It is seen from the records that the seniority list was prepared in September 2011, i.e. after the application u/s 6(1) of the act was filed by the appellant. Such records are now filed by the PIO. Thus nothing remains to be decided in the appeal and the information as was sought and which is now available on record of this file has been offered to the appellant.

9) Considering the above, nothing survives in the present appeal and the same is required to be disposed. Consequently appeal stands dismissed. Liberty is granted to the appellant to collect the copies of the information filed by the PIO in this file of the commission.

Notify the parties.

Pronounced in the open proceedings.

Proceedings closed.

Sd/-

(Prashant S. Prabhu Tendolkar)

State Chief Information Commissioner

Goa State Information Commission

Panaji-Goa